

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/672,345	09/25/2003	Shozo Oshio	10873.1308US01	9659		
52835 7590 06:08:2005 HAMRE, SCHUMANN, MUELLER & LARSON, P.C.			EXAM	EXAMINER		
			KOSLOW,	KOSLOW, CAROL M		
P.O. BOX 2902-0902 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
,			1755			
				_		

DATE MAILED: 06/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.		Applicant(s)	C
10/672,345		OSHIO, SHOZO	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	C. Melissa Koslow	1755	

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	_				
	C. Melissa Koslow	1755					
The MAII ING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ross				
• •	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follor places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in completion following time periods: 	E REPLY FILED 27 May 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. ☐ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
	a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on a been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension at CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i. which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a nd the corresponding amount of the fee. itutory period for reply originally set in the) and the appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	ension fee have on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)				
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the a Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered by (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying to appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		jected claims.					
The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) 26,28-32,34 and 36 would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 10,13-19,24,25,33,35 and 37. Claim(s) objected to: 31 and 32. Claim(s) rejected: 26-30,34 and 36. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ w vided below or appended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	s necessary				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but the amednemnt was not entered.	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).							
13. Other: See Continuation Sheet.		1					

C. Melissa Koslow Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1755

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment to claim 26 creates a 35 USC 112 problem in that claim 27 is broader in scope than claim 26 from which it depends with respect to the amounts of chemical formulas I and II.

Continuation of 13. Other: It is noted that the subject matter of claim 27 is not present in the specification. Accordingly, an objection to the specification as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter (See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) will need to be added.